APPENDIX 2

Review of Cheshire East Council's compliance with the CIPFA Financial Management Code

Introduction

The CIPFA Financial Management (FM) code sets the standards of financial management for local authorities. It is designed to support effective practice in financial management and to assist local authorities in demonstrating their budgetary sustainability. The code complies with other legislation and associated CIPFA codes and is evidence of compliance with statutory and professional frameworks. All local authorities are required to demonstrate full compliance with the Code by 31 March 2022 or provide a full explanation relating to areas of non-compliance, noting though that a local authority can do so within a more flexible framework where a proportionate approach can be taken. In practice this means that adherence to some parts of the Code will demonstrate a direction of travel with reference made in the Annual Governance Statement in respect of the organisation's compliance with the principles of the FM Code.

Demonstrating compliance with the CIPFA FM Code is a collective responsibility including the Leader of the Council, Members, the Chief Finance Officer, and their professional colleagues in the leadership team. This report is a self-assessment and review of the Council's compliance with the standards as set out in the FM Code. It documents the detail of what is expected within the standard. It also records evidence of areas of compliance, non-compliance and documents any further actions required to meet and/or improve current processes in place.

This report is to be considered alongside the Draft 2022/23 Annual Governance Statement (AGS), noting that the 2021/22 and 2022/23 (2 year) External Audit Value for Money (VfM) reports are being finalised and that following reflection of the findings some areas of this draft assessment may be subject to change.

Principles of good financial management

The code is a principle-based approach. There are six principles:

- 1. Leadership
- 2. Accountability
- 3. Transparency
- 4. Standards
- 5. Assurance
- 6. Sustainability

The six principles are translated into seventeen Financial Management standards - see Appendix 1.

Assessment of compliance

The following tables set out the latest self-assessment of the Council's ability to demonstrate that it meets the requirements of the FM Code.

Each of the seventeen standards have been re-assessed against the following definitions:

RAG	Description
Assessment	
5	Achieves Best Practice
4	Substantial Compliance / Minor Areas of improvement
3	Reasonable Compliance / Some Areas of improvement
2	Minimum Compliance / Significant Areas of improvement
1	Does not meet requirements of the code

A full re-assessment of all the FM Code Principles and Standards is ongoing.

The aim of the full draft re-assessment is to demonstrate the overall current level of compliance in line with the above RAG Rating and highlight any key themes that have been noted for improvements and associated action plans.

Hyperlinks to Sections

Standard A : The leadership team can demonstrate that the services provided by the authority provide value for money.

Standard B : The authority complies with the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Chief Finance Officer in Local Government.

Standard O. The leadership team monitors the elements of its balance sheet that pose a significant risk to its financial sustainability.

Standard D : The authority applies the CIPFA/SOLACE Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016)

Standard P: The chief finance officer has personal responsibility for ensuring that the statutory accounts provided to the local authority comply with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom

Standard Q : The presentation of the final outturn figures and variations from budget allow the leadership team to make strategic financial decisions.

Standard L - The authority has engaged where appropriate with key stakeholders in developing its long-term financial strategy, medium-term financial plan and annual budget

Standard M : The authority uses an appropriate documented option appraisal methodology to demonstrate the value for money of its decisions.

Standard H : The authority complies with the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities S

Standard J : The authority complies with its statutory obligations in respect of the budget setting process

Standard K : The budget report includes a statement by the chief finance officer on the robustness of the estimates and a statement of the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves

Standard C : The leadership team demonstrates in its actions and behaviours, responsibility for governance and internal control.

Standard F - The authority has carried out a credible and transparent financial resilience assessment

Standard G - The authority understands its prospects for financial sustainability in the longer term and has reported this clearly to members

Standard N - The leadership team takes action using reports, enabling it to identify and correct emerging risks to its budget strategy and financial sustainability.

Standard E - The financial management style of the authority supports financial sustainability

Standard I - The authority has a rolling multi-year medium-term financial plan consistent with sustainable service plans.

Leadership

Principle 1: Organisational Leadership – demonstrating a clear strategic direction based on a vision in which financial management is embedded into organisational culture

Standard A	: The leadership team can de	emonstrate that the services provi-	vided by the authority provide value for m	oney.
				/

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
Compliance with FM Code requires	 Governance arrangements have 	 Appointments to CLT – 	3	 Publish Atamis dashboards
an authority to achieve value for	moved to the Committee System	currently interim	Amber	(LH) – In progress
money and be able to	(Committee membership &	arrangements in place to		CLT Appointments
demonstrate that its services	purpose - <u>Links below)</u>	cover the Executive Director		 Interim/Permanent
represent value for money	 Structure of Committees 	 Corporate Services and 		S151/CFO appointment
	approved	Executive Director – Place.		Strategic Risk Register
Promote/achieve value for money	 Committee Terms of 	• CFO/S151 leaving May 2024		reports to progress onto
- examples	Reference (ToR)	– Interim and permanent		the Service Committees
Clear Governance structure	approved	appointments being sought.		(Done for CPC).
Corporate Plan (clear	 Chairs / Members 	• New Corporate Plan (CP) to		 Potential further/ongoing
objectives and strategy based	appointed (Link below)	be aligned to Committees		work re Decisions - Wider
on local need)	 Committee meeting 	• Performance Framework for		Leadership Community
Effective Service and Financial	schedule and workplans	Corporate Plan Actions /		(WLC) Training plus
planning	approved	Measures needs to be in		Directorate Led sessions.
Financial Regulations	 Annual Review of 	Service Plans		
Procurement Regulations	Committee System	Atamis roll-out (incl		
Contract Management	Report (CPC June 2023)	dashboards and		
Risk Management	 Reports to all decision 	procurement pipeline) – In		
	making committees	progress		

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	produced in line with a			
	reporting protocol, DMT			
	clearance, legal/finance			
	review, identification of			
	mitigation of risks re			
	report content.			
	 MTFS aligned to 			
	Committee Structure –			
	annual report (FSC			
	08.03.23 and service			
	committees)			
	- Corporate Leadership Team – <u>see</u>			
	links below. A new chief			
	executive, Rob Polkinghorne was			
	appointed by Full Council on			
	13.12.2023.			
	- Group Governance			
	arrangements.			
	 Cheshire East Residents 			
	First Ltd (CERF) and			
	Cheshire East Council			
	hold the controlling			
	shares and provide			
	oversight of the Council's			
	subsidiary companies.			
	• Finance Sub Committee /			
	Shareholder Working			
	Group have ToR (June			
	2023 FSC)			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	- Revised Constitution – see links			
	below table.			
	 Aligns Medium Term 			
	Financial Strategy (MTFS)			
	to Committees			
	 Budget & Policy 			
	Framework (see link)			
	 Annually Reviewed 			
	financial limits			
	(Constitution)			
	 Revised Finance 			
	Procedure Rules (FPRs)			
	and Contract Procedure			
	Rules (CPRs)			
	 Definition of a Significant 			
	Decision (Completed			
	2022/23)			
	 Governance Workstream 			
	established within			
	Brighter Futures			
	Commercial Board (BFCB)			
	(completed, April 2022			
	agreed that BFCB had			
	achieved its objectives)			
	 Decisions, Decisions, 			
	Decisions – Wider			
	Leadership Community			
	(WLC) Training plus			
	Directorate Led sessions.			
	- Corporate Plan 2021 to 2025			
	(Link)			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	 Aligns with MTFS 			
	proposals			
	 Aligns to Service Plans 			
	 Performance Dashboard 			
	including Risk			
	- Procurement System			
	Implementation (Atamis) – Live			
	Sept 2021 for ICT, Procurement,			
	Childrens Services and Adults			
	Health and Integration – ongoing			
	through 2023			
	 Procurement Progress 			
	Report to FSC and A&G			
	(Completed 2022/23)			
	\circ Atamis Launch and			
	Contract Manager			
	training re Dashboards			
	 Strategic Risk Register (Link) 			
	 Risks contained within 			
	Service Plans			
	 Risks recorded in 			
	hierarchy aligned with			
	Directorate to Team level			
	plans			
	 Risk Management 			
	Framework and Risk			
	Management Strategy			
	adopted			
	 Risk Reporting to CLT 			
	(Completed 2022/23)			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 Demonstrate value for money - examples Scrutiny/Audit Arrangements Benchmarking Peer Review, Engagement with Service Users Monitoring of Performance Data Service Reviews User Surveys External Assessments, Equality Impact Assessments 	 Compliance) Council Strategic Risk Register Assurance Report – quarterly updates to Corporate Policy Committee (CPC July 2022) Risk Management Report (A&G annually – 22/23 27.07.23) – latest report was presented 7.3.24 – see link below Operational risk registers included in directorate and service business plans Committee System introduces cross party decision making. Committees receive quarterly performance scorecards, in year financial monitoring reports and MTFS budget planning/setting reports relevant to their area of remit. Single Scrutiny Committee sets own work programme In addition to meetings of CLT, the three statutory officers – Chief Executive, S151 Officer and Monitoring Officer - also meet regularly. External Auditors (Mazars) 	 Evidence of benchmarking for Committees Corporate overview of external assessments Review adequacy and extent of external assessments 	3 Amber	 Include external assessments as part of regular Performance Reporting (PC) -Ongoing Peer Review scheduled for end of March 2024 follows report to Corporate Policy Committee 13 Feb 2024 – recommend to authorise the Chief Executive to make arrangements for a local government peer review (RP).

Risk Management report (Latest): http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=486&Mld=9825&Ver=4

Decision making and governance

The Council's Constitution sets out the governance and decision making structures and role of the Council, the roles of Members and Committees, and the roles and delegations to officers, including senior management.

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/council and democracy/your council/constitution.aspx

It also features the Budget and Policy Framework, Finance Procedure Rules and Contract Procedure Rules.

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/pdf/council-and-democracy/constitution/january-2024/cheshire-east-council-constitution-chapter-3-december-2023.pdf

The Corporate Leadership Team of the Council currently comprises of the Chief Executive, Executive Directors for Adults, Children's, Place (acting) and Corporate Services (vacant), the Director of Finance & Customer Services (S151 Officer) and Director of Governance & Compliance (Monitoring Officer).

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/council and democracy/your council/corporate leadership structure/corporate-leadership-team.aspx

In May 2021 the Council replaced its Cabinet system with a Committee system, made up of Corporate Policy Committee and five service committees; there is also the Finance Sub-Committee (a sub-committee of Corporate Policy Committee) and an Audit & Governance Committee, as well as a number of other committees.

The purpose and membership of each committee may be found here:

http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/mgListCommittees.aspx?bcr=1

Whilst Corporate Policy Committee and Finance Sub-Committee have specific responsibilities for financial planning and management, all service committees are responsible for the oversight, scrutiny, reviewing outcomes, performance, budget monitoring and risk management of services within their remit.

Principle 1: Organisational Leadership – demonstrating a clear strategic direction based on a vision in which financial management is embedded into organisational culture

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan / RAG
 Compliance with FM Code requires each of the following to be reliably and consistently met: CFO is a key member of the leadership team, helping it to develop and implement strategy and to resource and deliver the authority's strategic objectives sustainably and in the public interest. The CFO must be actively involved in, and able to bring influence to bear on, all material business decisions to ensure immediate and longer- term implications, opportunities and risks are fully considered and aligned with the authority's overall financial strategy. The CFO must lead the promotion and delivery by the whole authority of good financial management so that public money is safeguarded at all times and used 	 compliance) The Director of Finance & Customer Services (DF&CS) is the Authority's CFO / s151 Officer and is a professionally qualified accountant with significant experience. Senior Officer Structure & Statutory responsibilities reported on CEC website (June 2023) The DF&CS is a member of CLT and leads on development of financial strategy Pipeline of Strategic discussion to CLT via BMST Report templates for decisions allow Financial Implications to be addressed and reports are submitted to CLT Some ODRs are referred to CFO in advance to confirm financial implications are addressed Improved clarity in FPRs over financial limits and decision making 	 Changes to personnel and approach for CLT are disrupting strategic discussion Follow-up analysis of decisions not sufficient Risk that not all ODRs reviewed by all necessary parties Cascade consistent Budget Manager training of Unit4 and FP&A Forecasting tool across the Council 	4 Green	 Management Boards to be established with wider engagement of Directors and Heads of Service. Performance Framework to include progress reporting of past recommendations / decisions (PC) WOC Outturn reporting via CFO before Boards (AT) – in progress

Standard B : The authority complies with the CIPFA Statement on the Role of the Chief Finance Officer in Local Government.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan / RAG
	compliance)			
 appropriately, economically, efficiently, and effectively. The CFO must lead and direct a finance function that is resourced to be fit for purpose. The CFO must be professionally qualified and suitably experienced. 	 The DF&CS ensures the financial statements are appropriately prepared and that they give a true and fair view. The Finance Team suitably resourced and qualified CFO for LEP / CEC Group Regular meetings of Statutory Officers being the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), CFO and Monitoring Officer (MO) DF&CS – supports and advises Council, Corporate Policy Committee (CPC, Finance Sub-Committee (FSC), Policy Briefing. Forums provide sufficient opportunities for the Leader of the Council, CEO / CLT to have oversight of financial matters and the DF&CS to be involved in developing and implementing strategy. DF&CS networks externally and works with other Local Authority S151 Officers Effective financial management is promoted throughout the whole Council through business partnering approach, regular financial reporting to CLT, FSC, CPC, Committees, Full Council. 			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan / RAG
	compliance)			
	- Finance Managers / Business			
	Partners attend EDMT / DMT,			
	Company SMT/Boards, Finance is			
	represented on internal			
	management teams and in wider			
	Group			
	- The Finance function underwent			
	a restructure in 2022/23 and			
	aims to meet business needs via			
	a permanent staffing structure.			
	Some advisory services are			
	commissioned (treasury			
	management, pensions,			
	valuations, Tax). Professional			
	qualification development is in			
	place and appropriate members			
	of the team are either qualified			
	or actively studying for a			
	qualification – monitored by KPI			
	(Service Plan).			
	 Finance Training provided to 			
	Committees in November 2022			
	and in 2023 following Elections,			
	to support new and existing			
	members.			
	 Member Training programme on 			
	the Committee system for			
	existing and new members.			
	- CERF WOC Draft Out-turn reports			
	reported to FSC and A&GC (June			
	2023)			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan / RAG
	compliance)			
	 LEP Outturn reporting via CFO 			
	before LEP before LEP Finance &			
	Reporting Committee.			
	 Quarterly 121 meetings for 			
	Shared Services / LEP / WOC			
	CEOs with CFO.			
	 WOC Out-turn reporting via CFO 			
	before Boards.			
	 Following the publication of the 			
	council's 2023/24 First Financial			
	Review report, in which potential			
	gross budget deficit of £26.6m			
	(£12.8 million after mitigation)			
	has been identified, a budget			
	emergency response team has			
	been set up, called 'CEBERT'			
	(Cheshire East Budget Emergency			
	Response Team). CEBERT brings			
	together Corporate Leadership			
	Team and several senior officers			
	from the corporate services			
	directorate to lead on			
	coordinating our work across the			
	organisation to address the			
	financial challenge and rebalance			
	the council's budget forecast.			
	CEBERT meets on a weekly basis			
	to provide strategic oversight for			
	a range of activity and			
	workstreams and includes lead			
	officers for each service			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan / RAG
	compliance)			
	committee to ensure that our			
	response has appropriate			
	political oversight and decision-			
	making. Workstreams include			
	Establishment Management,			
	Spending Control Panel, Pricing			
	Strategies and Capital Spending.			
Key questions:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
• Is the authority's CFO a key				
member of the leadership				
team, involved in, and able to				
bring influence to bear on, all				
material business decisions?				
 Does the CFO lead and 				
champion the promotion and				
delivery of good financial				
management across the				
authority?				
• Is the CFO suitably qualified				
and experienced?				
-				
-				
 Is the finance team suitably resourced and fit for purpose? 				

Principle 1: Organisational Leadership – demonstrating a clear strategic direction based on a vision in which financial management is embedded into organisational culture

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 The leadership team takes action using reports enabling it to identify and correct emerging risks to its budget strategy and financial sustainability Engages with The CIPFA publication Balance Sheet Management in the Public Services: A Framework for Good Practice (2017). 	 Capital Schemes are monitored and reported on periodically in year. Capital Funding is reviewed by project and at strategic level. Report writing guidance has been developed to support improvement in articulating financial implications. 	 Balance sheet review is not part of the financial monitoring process CIPFA Balance Sheet Management is not reported on Limited oversight of LEP / WOC / SS / Investment Strategy impacts on balance sheet Insufficient clarity on potential financial liability arising from risks recognised across registers being realised 	2 Red	 'Decisions' work to keep asking the so what questions so that impacts of a decision understood (financially, risk management and legal). (DB / AT) (Ongoing) Review reporting approach to impact of LEP / SS / WOC decisions on overall balance sheet – how does our existing contract management approach achieve this, what needs to change? (AT) (Ongoing)
 To comply with the FM Code the authority might choose to: determine which elements of its balance sheet pose a significant risk to its financial sustainability, through a comprehensive review of its assets and liabilities 	 Quarterly reporting includes reviews of debt and borrowing (Link examples) The deficit on the DSG has been identified as a key risk in the MTFS, monitoring reports to Committees Reserves Strategy is approved as part of the MTFS and compliance with the minimum 	 Monitoring of entire balance sheet risk is not systematic. Limited oversight of LEP / WOC balance sheets Capital receipts strategy needs development to align with MTFS (Disposal programme – Assets Board) 	2 Red	 Enhance quarterly reporting to include balance sheet management. Specifically, debt / borrowing / assets / pension fund valuation (as appropriate) (AT) (Ongoing)

Standard O. The leadership team monitors the elements of its balance sheet that pose a significant risk to its financial sustainability.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
 put in place mechanisms to monitor these elements of its balance sheet respond promptly and proactively to any issues that these mechanisms identify. 	compliance)level of reserves and the impact of in year financial performance on the reserves and balances is monitored as part of the in year performance reportOther potential key risk areas of the balance sheet – Pensions / Contingent Liabilities – captured as part of regular finance reportingAsset Management Report – covering property acquisitions and disposals undertaken by the Council and pending transactions (FSC 19.01.2023, next report scheduled FSC 17.01.2024)-Sundry Debt briefing report, sundry debt levels across all Council services (FSC 08.03.2023)-Sundry debt monitoring included as part of the in year finance performance reportIn year monitoring report (First Financial Review 2023/24 – Annex 1 CPC 05.10.23) includes Appendix 7 FSC and Appendix 7a Update to the Treasury Management Strategy as			 Annual review of LEP / WOC balance sheet to CFO via Finance Lead (AT). Report disposals and acquisitions to Finance Sub-Committee and reference links to MTFS (PS) (Done and Ongoing)

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	relating Capital Strategy			
	borrowing			
	- Local Government Pension			
	Scheme (LGPS) and Cheshire			
	Pension Fund (CPF) Report (FSC			
	Jun 2023) – Introduction paper			
	on the LGPS, planning and			
	reporting for pensions and			
	keeping members informed			
	including CPF Committee and			
	reporting of minutes to CPC in			
	future (due FSC 2.11.2023)			
Key questions:				
Has the authority identified the				
elements of its balance sheet				
that are most critical to its				
financial sustainability?				
Has the authority put in place				
suitable mechanisms to				
monitor the risk associated				
with these critical elements of				
its balance sheet?				
• Is the authority taking action to				
mitigate any risks identified?				
Does the authority report				
unplanned use of its reserves				
to the leadership team in a				
timely manner?				
Is the monitoring of balance				
sheet risks integrated into the				

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
authority's management accounts reporting processes?				

Accountability

Principle 2 – Accountability – financial management is based on medium-term financial planning which drives the annual budget process supported by effective risk management, quality supporting data and whole life costs

Standard D : The authority applies the CIPFA/SOLACE Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: Framework (2016)

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
To ensure compliance with the	 Council has moved to a 	 Work on this standard is 	4 Green	- Establish Governance
FM Code, whatever form of	Committee System of	too focused on Audit		Workstream within
governance arrangements are in	Governance	- Decisions are not		BFT, ensuring
place across the authority, the	- As part of the transition, a	systematically reviewed to		allocation of
authority should assess its	review of the Constitution will	ensure they have been		responsibilities is
governance structures against	be undertaken in the first 6	complied with		wider than IA (DB)
the principles contained in the	months of operation, with a	- Code of Corporate		(Done but stood
framework by:	report back to Council	Governance needs to be		down)
	- Council has a Code of	updated to reflect Council		- Amend Service Plans
 reviewing its existing 	Corporate Governance and	governance in Committee		to include
governance arrangements	adopted the model principles	System model.		Accountability
• developing and maintaining	in the Delivering Good	- Greater involvement by		Statements and links
an up-to-date local code of	Governance Framework in	CLT in the production of		(PC) (Partially Done,
governance, including	full. An annual review is	the Annual Governance		but no links)
arrangements for ensuring	carried out against this to	Statement via a Corporate		- Update COCG with
the ongoing effectiveness of	inform the production of the	Assurance Group (does this		appropriate
this local code	Annual Governance	need to be a separate		consultation and
 reporting publicly on an 	Statement (AGS).	group – see next point)		approval (JG)
annual basis its compliance				

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 with the authority's local code of governance and how the authority has monitored the effectiveness of its governance arrangements, together with how it plans to improve these arrangements in the future. This reporting on compliance, 	 Majority of the assessment against the Code for the AGS is carried out by Internal Audit in addition to their annual opinion. AGS is also informed by various sources of assurance reported to the A&G Committee throughout the 	 Wrap under the Governance Workstream for BFT; next phase, maintaining, developing enhanced governance – Governance. Next phase to move on from the implementation of the Committee system. 		 Include AGS monitoring as part of Performance Framework (PC/JG)
 effectiveness and improvement can usually be undertaken within the authority's AGS, which must be published alongside its financial statements. Principles of the Good Governance Framework set out on p. 36 (FM Code guidance notes). 	 year; Information Governance Annual Report, MO annual report, regular reports on LGO complaints as well as annual summary, WARNs and risk management. AGS includes an action plan setting out improvements on significant governance issues. A&G Committee have delegated authority from 	 Bring the AGS monitoring and reporting in line with quarterly risk review by CLT; draw out clearly where those AGS issues relate to the strategic risk registers. 		
 Key questions Has the authority sought to apply the principles, behaviour and actions set out in the framework to its own governance arrangements? Does the authority have in place a suitable local code of governance? 	Council to approve the Statement of Accounts and AGS. Draft and final statements are presented to the Committee and Statement of Accounts/AGS are published on the Council's website together. - An update is presented to the A&G Committee on progress against significant governance			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
 Does the authority have a 	issues where these are			
robust assurance process to	recognised in the AGS.			
support its AGS?	 Amend Service Plans to 			
	include Accountability			
	Statements and links (Partially			
	Done, but no links)			
	 induction training for all new 			
	Members, following the May			
	2023 elections, includes a			
	focus on governance, code of			
	conduct and officer/member			
	relations.			

Principle 2 – Accountability – financial management is based on medium-term financial planning which drives the annual budget process supported by effective risk management, quality supporting data and whole life costs

Standard P: The chief finance officer has personal responsibility for ensuring that the statutory accounts provided to the local authority comply with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 In order to demonstrate compliance with the requirement of the FM Code that the authority's CFO has both personal and statutory responsibilities for ensuring that its financial statements comply with the local authority accounting Code, the authority could: ensure that the preparation and submission of annual financial statements that comply with the local authority accounting Code is included within the CFO's job/role description and annual performance management objectives consider the extent to which the CFO has prepared and submitted annual financial statements that comply with the local authority accounting Code as part of the CFO's performance management 	 Finance Team structure recognises key responsibilities for account preparation and disclosure Ongoing team development and training supports professional requirements for account closure The DFCS (S151) is responsible for ensuring that the statement of accounts produced by the Council complies with the reporting requirements of the "Code of Practice on Local Authority in the United Kingdom". The operational responsibility for production of the Statement of Accounts, in line with proper accounting practices rests with the Finance Team. The DFCS (S151) role has management responsibility through the Head of Finance 	 account closure is not part of performance review. Maintain appropriate staffing levels. 	4 Green	 Include SOA in performance framework (dates and qualification) (AT) Increase engagement of Finance Team with CFO (AT) (Ongoing) Increase reporting on CPD / PDR to ensure development ongoing (AT) IA to attend regular wider Finance Team meetings to support development of work plan / risk review (JG) (Ongoing) Quarterly liaison with external auditors to maintain quality of reporting (AT) (Done / Ongoing) Create secondment opportunities for Audit / Finance staff to

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 review (or equivalent) and used to inform any performance management ratings or judgements challenge the CFO in the event that the annual financial statements are not prepared and submitted in line with the required timescales or if the review of the financial statements by the authority or its auditors identifies any other issues in respect of their preparation. The authority should, however, also ensure that the CFO is provided with sufficient resources – including a suitably-resourced finance team – to fulfil their personal and statutory responsibilities under this element of the FM Code. Key questions: Is the authority's CFO aware of their responsibilities in terms of the preparation of the annual financial statements? Are these responsibilities included in the CFO's role description, personal objectives 	 (Deputy S151) and FMT for the Finance Team. The annual accounts have been prepared in- line with the statutory requirements and comply with CIPFAs code of practice on a timely basis. The annual accounts are reviewed and signed by the DFCS (S151 Officer). The DFCS (S151) role includes responsibility for liaison with external audit Audit & Governance Committee provide suitable challenge on timeliness and quality of accounts Sufficient resources and access to systems is adequate to complete account closure. Finance Leadership Team (DFCS/Head of Finance/FMT) meet monthly. With regard to production of the statutory Statement of Accounts, the Council is essentially 'up-to-date' in this regard, with draft accounts being prepared by the national deadline of 31 May. 			enhance skills / experience (AT / JG) - Ensure Finance Team is at appropriate capacity to function, recruitment and retention and succession planning – plan to provide capacity and resilience in meeting business demands, the complexity of solutions required to achieve balanced budget and value for money. (PG)

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 and other relevant performance management mechanisms? Have the authority's financial statements hitherto been prepared on time and in accordance with the requirements of the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom? 				

The audited accounts for 2021/22 may be found via the following link; they include the independent auditor's report from Mazars, providing an unqualified opinion on the financial statements and a positive report with regard to the Council's arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness (value for money) in its use of resources:

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/pdf/council-and-democracy/statement-of-accounts/statement-of-accounts-2021-2022/statement-of-accounts-2021-22final.pdf

Similarly, the Accounts for 2022/23 were prepared by 31 May 2023 and the audit is nearing completion, with the auditors due to report their findings to the Audit & Governance Committee of the Council on 7 March 2024:

http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=486&MId=9825&Ver=4

Principle 2 – Accountability – financial management is based on medium-term financial planning which drives the annual budget process supported by effective risk management, quality supporting data and whole life costs

Standard Q : The presentation of the final outturn figures and variations from budget allow the leadership team to make strategic financial decisions.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 The FM Code states that effective financial reporting is key to ensuring that the authority and its leadership team understand how effectively its resources have been utilised during the year, including how material variances from initial and revised budgets to outturn have arisen and been managed. The success of these arrangements will be demonstrated by the ability of the leadership team to use them to make informed decisions about the authority's future financial strategy and plans. In some circumstances, such reporting might lead to a reappraisal of the achievability of the long-term financial strategy and of the financial resilience of the authority. 	 The Council has a well-established financial cycle – of planning, monitoring and reporting – which includes formal in-year reviews (with reports going to three committee cycles) and an outturn report after year-end. Outturn reports are provided to CLT then onwards for appropriate member approval Outturn reporting includes assessment of financial performance across all services and central budgets, including narrative to explain variances Capital and revenue performance through the year Outturn reporting includes analysis of impacts on reserves and treasury management Outturn and Mid-Year Review support MTFS development process Third Quarter Review forecasts outturn, which aligns to MTFS opening balances 	 Capital performance is not strongly correlated with MTFS process 	4 Green	 BPs to provide insight reports to CFO, for discussion at DMTs with CFO present (AT) (Ongoing) Consultation must include Capital and associated headlines / charts (AT) Enhance HLBC to align with Performance Framework and to other Strategies and Policies (AT)

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 Key Questions: Is the authority's leadership team provided with a suitable suite of reports on the authority's financial outturn and on significant variations from budget? Is the information in these reports presented effectively? Are these reports focused on information that is of interest and relevance to the leadership team? Does the leadership team feel that the reports support it in making strategic financial decisions? 	 Outturn reported to CLT for review prior to draft accounts. MTFS process includes Capital performance MTFS Project Team established with PMO support In year reporting includes variances to approved budget proposals 			

Transparency

Principle 3: Transparency – Financial management is undertaken with transparency at its core using consistent, meaningful and understandable data, reported frequently with evidence of periodic officer action and elected member decision making.

Standard L - The authority has engaged where appropriate with key stakeholders in developing its long-term financial strategy, medium-term financial plan and annual budget

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
 The FM Code sets out clearly that stakeholder consultation can help to set the authority's priorities and to reduce the possibility of legal or political challenge. Furthermore, stakeholder consultation helps to encourage community involvement not just in the design of services but in their ongoing delivery. The FM Code requires the authority to engage, where appropriate, with key stakeholders in developing its long-term financial strategy, its medium-term financial plan and its annual budget. In 	 A comprehensive Stakeholder Analysis was completed. Budget Consultation is on-line and (when possible) available at public buildings to maximise engagement. Questions and material are co- designed with the Consultation Team. Publicity is co-designed with the Communications Team. All Stakeholders are communicated with and encouraged to respond through several forums, including Social Media. including the statutory consultation with business councillors, residents, staff, 	 Events to discuss the MTFS with partners need to be re-instated The number of budget consultation engagements in 2024 was similar to 2023 (2,267), and significantly higher than in previous years. 	4 Green	 Events to discuss the MTFS with partners need to be re-instated Provide earlier public consultation with wider options contained within HLBCs (AT)

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
complying with this element of the FM Code, there are a number of things to consider, namely:	town and parish councils, local community groups and other stakeholders including trade unions.			
 how to identify key stakeholders how to engage 	 All feedback is reported to officers to develop responses / actions. 			
 effectively with these stakeholders o how to use the results 	 All feedback is reported to members to support decision making. 			
of this engagement wisely.	 Changes following consultation are reported clearly. EIAs accompany all HLBCs. MTFS Project Team established 			
Key questions:	with PMO support.			
 How has the authority sought to engage with key stakeholders in developing its long-term financial strategy, its medium-term financial plan and its annual budget? 	 Develop stakeholder plan for MTFS process. Track progress vs Stakeholder plan. Stakeholder involvement undertaken as part of the 			
 How effective has this engagement been? What action does the authority plan to take to improve its engagement with key 	development and implementation of service changes and major projects, with the extent of consultation required approved by Legal			
stakeholders?	Services.			

Budget Engagement - The full report may be found here:

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/pdf/Council-and-democracy/Consultations/Consultation-results/Budget-Consultation-for-2024-to-2025.pdf

Principle 3: Transparency – Financial management is undertaken with transparency at its core using consistent, meaningful and understandable data, reported frequently with evidence of periodic officer action and elected member decision making.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
 As a general rule, the approach taken by the authority to option appraisal should comply with the guidance set out in the IFAC/PAIB publication Project and Investment Appraisal for Sustainable Value Creation: Principles in Project and Investment Appraisal (2013). Consequently, rather than preparing its own documented appraisal methodology, the authority might prefer to record simply that any option appraisals that it undertakes should comply with the guidance set out in this publication, or in CIPFA's own guide to undertaking an option appraisal: Option Appraisal: A Practical Guide for Public Service Organisations (2017 Edition). 	 compliance) HLBCs follow the Better Business Cases (5 Cases) Model which encourages options appraisal. The s.151 Officer frequently raises the quality of options development within Reports as part of CLT HLBCs include additional material in options that reflect Council Priorities such as Carbon. Amend HLBC template to require at least 3 Options (do nothing / do this / do something else) (Ongoing) 	 The IFAC/PAIB publication has not been used as a guide so should be reviewed. Options appraisals are generally inadequate / articulated. Future options appraisals should reflect priorities within the Corporate Plan / Council Plan Reporting who considered options should be enhanced as frequently only one option is articulated in reports. Quality of risk management arrangement proposals could be better aligned to risk appetite and tolerance, and support options appraisal . 	3 Amber	 Training / presentation needed on IFAC/PAIB at EFMT at least (AT). Amend HLBC template to require at least 3 Options (do nothing / do this / do something else) (AT) (Ongoing) Link HLBCs to Corporate Risk Register where applicable to enhance transparency (JG) Risks need to be aligned (CP / MTFS / HLBC / Corporate Register) (JG) Risks mitigation needs to be articulated as part of Finance / Performance Framework (JG) (Ongoing)

Standard M : The authority uses an appropriate documented option appraisal methodology to demonstrate the value for money of its decisions.

Wł	nat we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
Key	questions:				
•	Does the authority have a				
	documented option appraisal				
	methodology that is consistent				
	with the guidance set out in				
	IFAC/PAIB publication Project				
	and Investment Appraisal for				
	Sustainable Value Creation:				
	Principles in Project and				
	Investment Appraisal?				
•	Does the authority offer				
	guidance to officers as to				
	when an option appraisal				
	should be undertaken?				
•	Does the authority's approach				
	to option appraisal include				
	appropriate techniques for the				
	qualitative and quantitative				
	assessment of options?				
•	Does the authority's approach				
	to option appraisal include				
	suitable mechanisms to				
	address risk and uncertainty?				
•	Does the authority report the				
	results of option appraisals in a				
	clear, robust and informative				
	manner that gives clear				
	recommendations and				
	outlines the risk associated				
	with any preferred option(s)?				

Standards

Principle 4: Standards – Adherence to professional standards is promoted by the leadership team and is evidenced.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
 The FM Code requires the authority to comply with the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (the Prudential Code), though there is an exception for chief constables in England and Wales as they only have very limited and specific borrowing powers. In setting or revising its prudential indicators, the authority is required to have regard to the following matters: service objectives, e.g. strategic planning for the authority 	 The Council complies with the Prudential Code and monitors adherence through the Treasury Management Plan and as part of the MTFS approval process. Borrowing is reviewed as part of the process to set the Capital Budget and is supported by frequent contract management and engagement meetings with Treasury Management Advisors The Capital Programme Board (not currently happening but do have annual reviews) - board reviews schemes and funding to assess impact on borrowing / financing. Options to manage funds are completed across the 	 The impact of the MTFS proposals should be more clearly articulated in the Treasury Management Strategy (TMS). Reporting against funding targets should be enhanced and assessed against risk. Reporting to CLT should be simplified to enhance engagement with TMS Capital Programme Board need resurrecting but in a different format/membership. Capital Strategy Review to be undertaken to make the Capital 	3.Amber	 Capital Strategy Review Process – see detail notes below this table – page 32. Capital Strategy Review by 31.03.2024, Feedback 17.05.2024 – update Out-turn report June 24. Whole organisation 'benefit' approach to strategic capital investment and prioritisation (following an effective review of current programme and its unaffordability against the available financing envelope)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Programme affordable		/

Standard H : The authority complies with the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities S

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
 stewardship of assets, e.g. asset management planning value for money, e.g. option appraisal prudence and sustainability, e.g. risk, implications for external debt and whole life costing affordability, e.g. implications for council tax/district rates practicality, e.g. achievability of the forward plan. The Prudential Code also requires that, in making its capital investment decisions, the authority should have explicit regard to option appraisal and risk, asset management planning, strategic planning for the authority and achievability of the forward plan. Key questions Has the authority prepared a suitable capital strategy? 	 programme, and not simply on a single item. Quarterly reports on Capital to include impact on CFB and management of this through the Financing Reserve (Done) Capital Strategy features a number of sections, including an overview from the S151 Officer, prioritisation of capital expenditure, investment and risk strategy and governance; as well as the Capital Programme itself from page 379 – see link below. 	for 2024/25 and beyond – See Capital Strategy – MTFS starting Page 361 – see link below table - Capital HLBC's to include whole life costs - Importantly in the context of the Council's current circumstances, the following extracts from the S151 Officer's overview are particularly relevant – see extract below.		 Align MTFS to cashflow, remove assumptions on slippage moving to risk assumptions instead. Focus TMS reporting on MTFS and high level borrowing / investment targets and actions to change them if necessary Widen HLBCs to cover whole life costs and cashflow implications (such as PMO dashboard)

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	GAP	Action Plan
 Has the authority set prudential indicators in line with the Prudential Code? Does the authority have in place suitable mechanisms for monitoring its performance against the prudential indicators that it has set? 				

The Capital Strategy may be found in the MTFS, starting on page 361.

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/pdf/council-and-democracy/budget-report/appendix-c-mtfs-2024-2028.pdf

Capital Strategy:

In the context of the Council's current circumstances, the following extracts from the S151 Officer's overview are particularly relevant:

2023/24 has proven to be a difficult year for Cheshire East Council financially and the rise in interest rates has heavily impacted on the Capital Financing Budget. The revised Capital Financing Budget required for 2024/25 is £28.5m, an increase of £9.5m from 2023/24.

The Council also has a need to borrow and interest costs are anticipated to be in the region of £16.1m in 2024/25 and only reducing slightly in the following three years. Action needs to be taken to reduce the capital programme so that it is affordable and financially sustainable in the years to come.

A review of the programme commenced in October 2023. This work is ongoing but only schemes that are fully funded or of a nature where expenditure is essential whether that is a legal or compliance requirement will be allowed to continue in 2024/25.

The review will ensure projects have been prioritised, de-scoped or removed entirely, so that the Council can reduce the need borrow and reduce the minimum repayment of capital that is charged to the revenue account. Any scheme in one of the following categories must not proceed without further review from the s.151 Officer:

1. Scheme requires Cheshire East resources, either immediate or in the future.

- 2. Scheme requires forward funding from the Council that will impact the revenue budget.
- 3. Scheme is due to commence in 2024/25 or is at a point where work / contracts can be ceased.

4. Scheme is not essential for an invest-to-save business case scheme and does not bring a financial benefit to the revenue budget.

Principle 4: Standards – Adherence to professional standards is promoted by the leadership team and is evidenced.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
One of the principal	- The authority has an established	- Significant information	4	 Identify solutions – with
objectives of the FM Code	process for setting a balanced	within HLBCs and EIAs	Green	Government – to the
is to end the practice by	budget in line with statutory	should be capable of		exceptional financial
which the annual budget	obligations.	publication (and be		challenges of:
process has often become	 The process for developing and 	published)		 Abortive costs of
the focal point of, if not	setting the budget is set out in	 Identify solutions – with 		HS2 and
the limit to, authorities'	the policy and budget	Government – to the		potentially other
financial planning.	framework.	exceptional financial		capital projects
• The annual budget should	 Financial Planning, Monitoring 	challenges of:		(post-review)
be merely one element in	and Reporting are consistent	a. Abortive costs of HS2		 The High Needs/
a longer-term approach to	with each other and remain	and potentially other		DSG deficit, per
ensuring financial	compliant.	capital projects (post-		se, and the
sustainability. However,	 The requirement to produce a 	review)		directly related
the annual budget	balanced budget is well	b. The High Needs/ DSG		cashflow effects
preparation process must	publicised and has been	deficit, per se, and the		of interest costs
nevertheless be protected	enhanced to recognise the	directly related		 Underlying
at a time when the need to	importance of each single year	cashflow effects of		operational
make difficult decisions	being part of the MTFS.	interest costs		deficits on our
may threaten its integrity.	 The MTFS / budget includes 	c. Underlying operational		revenue account
• The FM Code requires the	assumptions on funding, cost	deficits on our revenue		in 2024/25
authority to be familiar	pressures, savings planning and	account in 2024/25		 Publish HLBCs, embed
with its statutory	delivery, the impact of any			EIAs within HLBC
obligations in respect of	changes in key planning			template (Ongoing)
the budget-setting	assumptions such as pay			- Enhance MSBI output to
process, to comply with	awards. The outcome provides			analyse / scenario plan
these requirements, and	an indication of the range of			demand led spending
to be able to demonstrate	mitigation requirements			requirements.
	(additional savings required) and			

Standard J : The authority complies with its statutory obligations in respect of the budget setting process

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
that it has complied with	levels of risk and resilience			- Develop Service Plans to
them.	reserves.			address a profile aligned
• While, in times of routine	 Decisions are supported by 			to MTFS, to move away
business, compliance is	HLBCs, including EIAs and			from central bidding
straightforward, it is in	proposals are subject to			process.
times of financial stress –	Consultation.			 Budget setting can be
when there may be	 HLBC's published alongside draft 			improved by greater
pressures for delay or	MTFS report on website			focus of senior
obfuscation in budget	- The S.25 Statement of the s.151			management in
setting – that a	Officer is personal and not			understanding and
comprehensive	subject to influence (see below)			engagement on whole-
understanding of these	 Setting of the Council Tax is 			Council strategies and
statutory requirements is	compliant and engages			need for transformation
crucial. The same is true in	precepting and levying bodies as			and supporting
placing reliance on	required			processes, as well as
information for budget-	 in-year budget monitoring 			Service-level focus and
setting purposes from	process highlights risk and			support for financial risk
other authorities, such as	potential for overspend and			management, recognisir
independent precepting	ongoing impacts for the MTFS.			the Council's low levels
bodies.	 In year reporting 2023/24 has 			reserves, and need to
	recognised the scale of the			build greater resilience
Key questions	current in year financial			
 Is the authority aware of 	challenges, in line with many			
its statutory obligations in	councils in England and has			
respect of the budget-	highlighted the risk associated			
setting process?	with this and that the Council			
• Has the authority set a	could act illegally, triggering the			
balanced budget for the	requirement for a Section 114			
current year?	report from the Chief Financial			
 Is the authority aware of 	Officer. The sources that could			
the circumstances under	trigger a S114 have been set out			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
which it should issue a	and the consequences of issuing			
Section 114 notice and	a S114 are also clearly stated.			
how it would go about	- 2024/25 – 2027/28 MTFS &			
doing so?	24/25Draft Budget - Corporate			
	Policy Committee 13.02.2024			
	- Urgent Decision – Exceptional			
	Financial Support for 23/24 and			
	24/25 re (i) HS2 and (ii) High			
	Needs Education spending The			
	total request is therefore			
	£17.6m (£11.6m 2023/24 /			
	£6.0m 2024/25). The impact of			
	approving the application is that			
	the Council would have access			
	to reserves of approximately 5%			
	of the net revenue budget. This			
	is in-line with frequently quoted			
	guidance levels for Council			
	reserves. At this level of			
	reserves the Council would not			
	have an immediate risk of			
	Section114 Notice.			

Principle 4: Standards – Adherence to professional standards is promoted by the leadership team and is evidenced.

Standard K: The budget report includes a statement by the chief finance officer on the robustness of the estimates and a statement of the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
• In line with Section 25 of the	- The S.25 Report is written by	Promotion of the s.25	4 –	- Enhance CFO
Local Government Act 2003,	the s.151 Officer and expresses	Statement is limited so	Green	communications with
the FM Code requires the	their professional views.	needs to be subject to a		planned conversations,
authority's section 151 officer	 The report is included within 	communication plan		BLOG, DMT attendance
(for authorities in England and	the MTFS and members are	Report is not subject to		(Ongoing)
Wales) to report alongside the	asked to take this report in to	specific scrutiny so		- Use CIPFA FM Code
annual budget, when it is	account as part of the	should be considered as		review to report
submitted for approval, on the	recommendations of the Report	a specific agenda item		problem areas
robustness of the estimates	to Cabinet / Council	for Committee		- Exceptional Financial
and the adequacy of reserves	 Report reflects all elements of 	Insufficient focus on		Support (DLUHC) –
allowed for in the budget	the financial cycle and the	'problem' areas that		Urgent decision CPC
proposals	associated engagement	need to be resolved,		13.02.2024 (approved
• The aim of this report is to	 Report highlights risks and 	such as AMP and Capital		in principle by DLUHC).
provide information and	performance in a strategic	Profiling.		 Continue to explore
assurance in respect of the	context.			options with DLUHC,
estimates included within the	 Widened engagement in 			DfE and DfT to resolve
annual budget, so that those	drafting s.25 statement to allow			material issues
responsible for scrutinising and	more input. Added to timetable			regarding HS2 and High
approving the budget can take	and request from EFMT (Done)			Needs (SEND)
these into account as part of	Isolated S.25 statement within			education expenditure.
the scrutiny and approval	MTFS as a specific			- Self-assessment of the
process.	recommendation to note it.			Council against the
Key questions	Also issued to Group Leaders			Local Government
• Does the authority's most	 Staff briefings (In the Know) 			Association –
recent budget report include a	Finance & Budget Consultation			Transformation
statement by the CFO on the	Update (AT) 30.01.2024 –			Capability Framework.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
robustness of the estimates	specific coverage on the			- LGA Peer Review – CPC
and a statement of the	robustness of the budget			13.02.2024 approved.
adequacy of the proposed	estimates and adequacy of			- Whole organisation
financial reserves?	reserves.			Transformation Plan /
• Does this report accurately	- 2023/24 S25 - The Section 25			Programme – needed
identify and consider the most	report supporting the 2023/24			to help address the
significant estimates used to	budget stated that "The			financial deficit will
prepare the budget, the	balanced position relies on			focus on:
potential for these estimates	achieving each proposal within			Reprioritisation
being incorrect and the impact	the strategy without delays.			to create an
should this be the case?	Low levels of reserves present			opportunity to invest in
• Does the authority have	limited scope to manage any			critical areas but also
sufficient reserves to ensure its	unforeseen financial difficulties			disinvest from areas
financial sustainability for the	in the medium-term."			Customer
foreseeable future?	Savings targets were achieved			engagement and
• Does the report set out the	by the Council, although some			experience through
current level of the authority's	were realised later than			using technology to
reserves, whether these are	planned. But the level of growth			streamline service
sufficient to ensure the	materially exceeded forecasts			delivery enabling self-
authority's ongoing financial	and created in-year			service available 24/7
sustainability and the action	overspending. The issue of			whilst ensuing
that the authority is to take to	having low levels of reserves			specialised support and
address any shortfall?	must be addressed within the			guidance is given to
	next 12 months.			those that need it
				Achieving value
	- 2024/25 S25 (CPC 13.02.24)-			for money in, and
	The Council must react to the			across all services, by
	financial deficit in this budget.			reducing manual,
	Change and transformation is			repetitive tasks
	particularly important as			through automation of
	overspending occurred in			systems and processes

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	2022/23 and is forecast in			Reviewing
	2023/24. This is a trend that			organisational
	cannot be sustained or			structures and
	managed through reserves.			operating models to
	 Spending in 2022/23 alongside 			maximise performance
	forecasts for 2023/24 and			and outcomes
	2024/25, are reducing total			Developing the
	reserves to an inadequate level.			right skills and
	There are ongoing financial risks			behaviours across the
	associated with High Needs			entire workforce to
	grant			achieve high
	(SEND) and the Private Finance			productivity levels
	Initiative (PFI) that the Council			Achieving
	may have to address in the			financial targets
	medium-term.			through the effective
	 The 2024/25 budget presents a 			implementation of well
	robust set of forecasts, but that			informed and clear
	the Council must address the			decisions informed by
	current trend of overspending.			data and insight
	This requires further			Developing the
	engagement of the Committees			Asset Management
	alongside enhanced controls			Plan to align it to
	associated with adherence to			service requirements
	the CIPFA Financial			and dispose of surplus
	Management Code.			assets
	- Based on S151 Officer			
	assessment of the risks that the			
	Council can currently value S151			
	Officer is not satisfied that the			
	Reserves Strategy presents an			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	adequate level of reserves to			
	support the MTFS.			
	 To mitigate the risk of financial 			
	failure, and the consequential			
	impact on local services, S151			
	Officer has been in regular			
	contact with the Exceptional			
	Financial Support Team of			
	DLUHC, alongside the			
	Council's Chief Executive.			
	- Exceptional Financial Support			
	Report taken to Corporate			
	Policy Committee 13.02.2024 –			
	see link below to report.			
	- EFS request approved by			
	Government 29.02.2024 (see			
	below).			
	In addition:			
	- The Chief Executive has taken			
	the initiative to engage senior			
	officers in self-assessment of			
	the Council against the Local			
	Government Association –			
	Transformation Capability			
	Framework.			
	The results will inform a			
	programme of activity across			
	the Council.			
	This will include reviewing how			
	senior officers can work more			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	collaboratively within a			
	management board operating			
	model. The intention being to			
	provide more opportunities to			
	focus on strategic outcomes and			
	manage change.			
	 Members have also discussed 			
	options related to LGA Peer			
	Review which will input into the			
	Council's transformation			
	ambitions. (Also CPC			
	13.02.2024)			
	- Exceptional Financial Support			
	granted by Government			
	29.02.2024 This request has			
	been approved by Government,			
	with the official announcement			
	being made after the Council set			
	its budget. Consequently it may			
	be viewed that our revenue			
	reserves will be 'saved' from			
	incurring these costs and			
	provide some 'relief' as regards			
	reserves levels in the short			
	term.			

Exceptional Financial Support Report – Link: <u>http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/documents/b25707/Urgent%20Decision%20-%20Exceptional%20Financial%20Support%2013th-Feb-2024%2014.00%20Corporate%20Policy%20Committee.pdf?T=9</u>

Assurance

Principle 5: Assurance – Sources of assurance are recognised as an effective tool mainstreamed into financial management, including political scrutiny and the results of external audit, internal audit and inspection.

	we are doing (evidence of liance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 In setting out the requirement for the authority's leadership team to demonstrate in its actions and behaviours responsibility - for governance and internal control, the FM Code emphasises the importance - of the 'Nolan principles' (listed p. 32 FM Code Guidance Notes). Key questions Does the leadership team espouse the Nolan principles? Does the authority have in place a clear framework for 	The Audit & Governance Committee ToRs are reviewed frequently and reflect input of the s.151 Officer. AGS is prepared within Audit Team and reviewed by CLT / A&G S.151 Officer stresses requirement for appropriate ethics and behaviours from Team and Peers. Code of Ethics specific for Internal Audit Officer Code of Conduct Member Code of Conduct CFO promotes ethics and Nolan Principles.	 Adherence to Nolan Principles is not frequently assessed by CLT / WLT / WLC There is evidence of confusion over some decision making. Examples of non-compliance are not systematically used to provide learning. Publication of spend analysis is not reviewed or promoted. 	4 – Green	 Develop accountability statement for Service Plans (including links to supporting policies and guidance) and require positive acknowledgement of agreement. (Ongoing) Allow areas of development to be flagged via AGS. Add recognition of principles to AGS. Use CFO comms to articulate issues and solutions. Ensure IA manage spot-check reviews of

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 governance and internal control? Has the leadership put in place effective arrangements for assurance, internal audit and internal accountability? Does the leadership team espouse high standards of governance and internal control? Does the leadership team nurture a culture of effective governance and robust internal control across the authority? 	compliance)-Procurement pipeline reviewed at FSC, including backwards lookThe behaviours and values are part of appraisal conversations as well as key to the way we recruit and develop colleaguesWe have arrangements to provide assurance that our behaviours are being upheld and that members and officers demonstrate high standards of conduct. These include: >codes of conduct for officers and members (including gifts and hospitality, registering interests, anti-fraud, and whistleblowing); and > inclusion of ethical values in policies and procedures for all areas including procurement and partnership working. - Our Constitution sets out the framework to ensure that all officers, key post holders and Members are able to fulfil their responsibilities in accordance with legislative requirements.			- Enable regular scrutiny of financial proposals by relevant committees, including recording (or approval) of mitigating actions

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	- We have governance			
	arrangements for our wholly			
	owned trading companies			
	- DFCS and Monitoring Officer			
	both attend Corporate Policy			
	Committee to ensure strong			
	financial governance and			
	oversight of decision-making.			
	- All reports to Committees,			
	CLT, DMT are required to			
	include a financial implications			
	section overseen by Senior			
	Finance Officer and a			
	representative of the Finance			
	Service attends all meetings.			

Principle 5: Assurance – Sources of assurance are recognised as an effective tool mainstreamed into financial management, including political scrutiny and the results of external audit, internal audit and inspection.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
• The FM Code sets out that if the authority has not tested and demonstrated its long-	 Growth and Savings feature in equal prominence within the MTFS. 	 There is no specific format to the testing of resilience 	3 - Amber	 Review CIPFA assessment tool (Done) Produce timely
 term financial resilience, then its financial sustainability remains an open question. The FM Code requires, therefore, that the authority assesses critically its finance resilience. This is undertaken by means of an explicit 	 The MTFS process reflects impact of MYR / TQR at key stages. The MTFS includes an assessment of resilience issues. HLBCs supports inclusion of data analysed to support the proposal 	 A detailed resilience assessment is not publicised CIPFA Resilience Index is not specifically shared with A&G Cttee HLBCs frequently do not link to data analysis or 		resilience report for A&G. Include key indicators plus external assessment – EA, CQC, OFSTED, ICO, LGO - Review DLUHC Local Authority Data Explorer performance stats – Finance Stats reviewed
 financial resilience assessment. Key questions Has the authority undertaken a financial resilience assessment? Has the assessment tested the resilience of the authority's financial plans to a broad range of alternative scenarios? Has the authority taken appropriate action to address 	 Unachievable proposals within the MTFS are addressed and reversed / amended when necessary The Reserves Strategy identified key risks and potential values. The CIPFA Resilience Index is reviewed when published and discussed by CEO / s.151 / MO First Finance Review of financial outlook prompts and highlights early pressures and mitigations that might be required. Supplemented in 23/24 by Big 	 KPIs. HLBCs tend to provide a single figure and not a range, often linked to limited options appraisal work Alongside Oflog (Office for Local Government), DLUHC is publishing performance data on a new stat tool – the Local Authority Data Explorer – 4 initial areas of performance – Adult 		 Finance Stats reviewed (Ongoing) Value for Money and Financial Resilience – review and publish annually

Standard F - The authority has carried out a credible and transparent financial resilience assessment

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
any risks identified as part of the assessment?	 Board tracking of progress of policy proposals re growth/savings and the extent agreed/achieved/not achieved/mitigations, and later in year as a consequence of the financial position, introduction of CEBERT weekly meetings across all Directorates/Committees. Value for Money and Financial Resilience 2023/24 published on website 1.3.24 -see link below 	Social Care, Waste Management, Adult Skills, Local Authority Finance - review		

Value for Money 2023-24:

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/council and democracy/your council/council finance and governance/value for money/value-for-money-and-financialresilience-202324.aspx Principle 5: Assurance – Sources of assurance are recognised as an effective tool mainstreamed into financial management, including political scrutiny and the results of external audit, internal audit and inspection.

Standard N - The leadership team takes action using reports, enabling it to identify and correct emerging risks to its budget strategy and financial sustainability.

V	/hat we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
		compliance)			
•	The earlier the authority	- The Council has a well-	- There is no monthly	3 -	 Develop Unit4 enquiries
	identifies that it is deviating	established financial cycle - of	reporting of financial	Amber	to provide up to date
	from its plans, the easier it is to	planning, monitoring and	activity		info
	get things back on course.	reporting – which includes	 Whilst there are 		 Develop Unit4 / MSBI to
•	Consequently, proactive review	formal in-year reviews (with	examples of sound		develop scenario /
	of focused financial and activity	reports going to three	integration of		forecasting data for
	performance reports should be	committee cycles) and an	monitoring and		review as part of MTFS
	a regular task for the leadership	outturn report after year-end.	forecasting within		process
	team.	 MYR / TQR / Outturn Reports 	projects and recognition		- Governance
٠	In order for the leadership	are supported by Finance	of wider effects on		Workstream to establish
	team to have access to the	Business Partners.	service/ organisational		review of decisions
	information it needs to identify	 Financial Reports are reviewed 	reporting, there is room		(ensure clear, complete
	emerging risks, the authority	by CLT	for improvement to		and monitored)
	needs to ensure that its	 Budget Managers use 	ensure necessary quality		(Ongoing)
	leadership team:	forecasting tools to support	is realised across all		 Enabling of Services and
	 receives reports about 	process of budget monitoring.	areas.		service management to
	the right things	- All Directors meet 121 with CFO	- Revenue / Capital		meet their financial
	 receives reports at the 	on a quarterly basis.	reporting is not entirely		management
	right time	 Reporting considers Revenue / 	inter-linked		responsibilities through
	 receives reports in the 	Capital / Reserves / Debt Grants	 Financial Implications in 		technology and training
	right format	/ Budget Changes	reports are not regularly		(including FP&A for
	 takes action in respect 	 Reporting focuses on Income 	reviewed to reflect if		budget management
	of any issues identified.	and Expenditure	achieved or not.		and forecasting)
		 Reports to members include 	 Individual transaction 		 Improve the quality of
Ke	ey questions	mitigating activity and	processes and approval		spend control and

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 Does the authority provide the leadership team with an appropriate suite of reports that allow it to identify and to correct emerging risks to its budget strategy and financial sustainability? Do the reports cover both forward and backward-looking information in respect of financial and operational performance? Are there mechanisms in place to report the performance of the authority's significant delivery partnerships such a contract monitoring data? Are the reports provided to the leadership team in a timely manner and in a suitable format? Is the leadership team happy with the reports that it receives and with its ability to use these reports to take appropriate action? 	 indications of how this will be achieved. Reporting to service committees through the year provides significant visibility and transparency for Members, and accountability for officers with regard to use of resources and delivery to budgets. In year reporting includes variances to approved budget proposals. CLT - Big Board tracking of progress of policy proposals re growth/savings and the extent agreed/achieved/not-achieved/mitigations . Following the publication of the council's 2023/24 First Financial Review report, in which potential budget deficit of £12.8 million has been identified, a budget emergency response team has been set up, called 'CEBERT' (Cheshire East Budget Emergency Response Team). CEBERT brings together Corporate Leadership Team and a number of senior officers from the corporate services 	 limits are built into systems – though improvements could be made in service and project management with regard to overall budgetary control, with greater focus on high risk areas of activity. Whilst there are examples of sound integration of monitoring and forecasting within projects and recognition of wider effects on service/ organisational reporting, there is room for improvement to ensure necessary quality is realised across all areas 		 financial information and intelligence. Shareholder oversight of Wholly Owned Company Business Plans and In-year performance (Ongoing) Whole organisation transformation programme to change service budget envelopes and re- establish corporate financial resilience and sustainability, including adequate reserves.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
	directorate to lead on			
	coordinating our work across			
	the organisation to address the			
	financial challenge and			
	rebalance the council's budget			
	forecast. CEBERT meets on a			
	weekly basis to provide			
	strategic oversight for a range			
	of activity and includes lead			
	officers for each service			
	committee to ensure that our			
	response has appropriate			
	political oversight and decision-			
	making.			
	 Procurement Pipeline reports 			
	to FSC / A&G			
	 Quarterly performance reports 			
	to Committees			
	 Risk Reporting to CLT 			
	(Completed 2022/23)			
	- Council Strategic Risk Register			
	Assurance Report – quarterly			
	updates to Corporate Policy Committee			
	- Risk Management Report (A&G			
	annually – 22/23 27.07.23)			

Examples of in year reports to Service Committees may be found via the following links:

http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=959&MId=9839&Ver=4

http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/ieListDocuments.aspx?Cld=965&Mld=9863&Ver=4

http://moderngov.cheshireeast.gov.uk/ecminutes/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=965&MId=9939&Ver=4

Sustainability

Principle 6: Sustainability – The long-term sustainability of local services is at the heart of all financial management processes and is evidenced by prudent us of public resources.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 Financial sustainability is about the ability of the authority to continue to fund its activities not just in the present, but also in an increasingly uncertain future. Developing a robust 	 The MTFS is supported by HLBCs that focus on 4yr estimates MTFS is forecast over 4yrs with allocations between Revenue / Capital / Reserves 	 HLBCs tend to focus on proposals in year 1 with less planning for new proposals in yrs 2+ Policy proposals taking too long to realise, or 	3 - Amber	 All HLBCs to consider whole life impact to support decision Update Council charging strategy Income targets must be
 approach to ensuring the financial sustainability of the authority's activities is central to compliance with the FM Code. Achieving financial sustainability 	 HLBCs include growth and savings with supporting evidence for forecasts HLBCs are prepared and owned by Service Directors 	 not achieving the extent of savings originally envisaged. Some reticence to recognise forecasts of 		 aligned to pricing strategies (and articulated in HLBCs if necessary) (Ongoing) Continue to develop the
 Achieving mancial sustainability requires the authority to have the capacity, the capability and the confidence to plan for the long term and to focus on the achievement of longer-term objectives, rather than to exist simply from year to year. Challenges to the above include: 	 MTFS does not include un- identified savings proposals Strategy focuses on reducing reliance on government funding Revenue impact of Capital expenditure is reflected in MTFS 	 Precognise forecasts of pressures early in the year. Beneficial impacts of capital investment are rarely reflected in Revenue budget Impact on KPIs is not reflected in HLBCs 		financial literacy of managers/budget holders to ensure that they have access to the performance and financial information needed to deliver their services effectively.

Standard E - The financial management style of the authority supports financial sustainability

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
 changes to the nature and level of public funding an ageing population ongoing pressures on adult and children's social care and other service areas a drive for greater efficiency in response to resource constraints increased demand for affordable housing uncertainty regarding the UK's ongoing relationship with the EU new risks associated with commercialisation. 	 Enablers are included in the MTFS development process All HLBCs to reflect 4yr impact on Capital and Revenue. Monitor progress of HLBCs across all years Align performance reporting to Corporate Plan to allow review of achievement against priorities Financial management framework in place: Corporate Plan / MTFS / Capital Strategy / Annual Budget (underpinned by Policy & Budget Procedure Rules, Financial Regulations, Scheme of Delegation , Procurement Regulations, risk 	 Capital spend forecasting is overly optimistic Pricing strategies are not consistent or published Investment / Savings are not led by Corporate Plan HLBCs are not subject to individual risk assessment / provisions 		 Consider mandatory training Peer Review underway March 2024. Corporate financial challenge – a need for a whole-Council response; and the committee system and the wider engagement of elected Members Whole organisation transformation programme to change service budget envelopes and re- establish corporate financial resilience and
 Key questions: Does the authority have in place an effective framework of financial accountability? Is the authority committed to continuous improvement in terms of the economy, efficiency, effectiveness and equity of its services? Does the authority's finance team have appropriate input 	 Frocurement Regulations, fisk management) / in Year monitoring / Statement of Accounts. Finance Service has a Business Partner approach, attend EDMTs, DMT regularly. Annual budgets and policy proposals/HLBC's are verified by ED's. Regular meetings with budget holders as part of in year monitoring. In year reports to CLT/Committees on forecast 			sustainability, including adequate reserves

Principle 6: Sustainability – The long-term sustainability of local services is at the heart of all financial management processes and is evidenced by prudent us of public resources.

V	Vhat we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
		compliance)			
•	The FM Code sets out that, having carried out a finance resilience assessment, the authority should demonstrate how the risks identified have informed the development of its longer-term financial strategy. The authority should, furthermore, report the implications of these risks on its future financial sustainability to its leadership team, including its elected members. This requires consideration of	 compliance) Strategic Risks are reported to A&G Committee S.25 Statement includes reflection of risks and how they are being managed Financial Reports include responses to mitigate adverse forecasts MTFS reflects impact of quarterly reporting issues Members receive quarterly reports, including key decisions Reserves Strategy reflects analysis of risk 	 Gap Analysis CIPFA Resilience Index is not specifically shared with members. HML forecasts do not feature are part of financial implications of member reports. Scenario planning is not a specific exercise within financial planning List of 'difficult events' needs further 	4 - Green	 Action Plan Options appraisals can include HML approach to allow more risk managed approach Financial monitoring to committees to include mitigation of overspending as opposed to single CEC response (Ongoing) Develop Unit4 / MSBI to develop scenario / forecasting data for review as part of MTFS
•	how financial resilience is integrated into the authority's strategic plan and into the financial strategy associated with the delivery of this strategic plan. One way in which this can be achieved in an uncertain and dynamic operating environment is through the use of scenario planning, which is introduced here as a suggestion.	 CEO / MO / CFO meet regularly and discuss key risks In February 2023, as in previous years, Council approved a 4-year balanced Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) – Link below. Early monitoring and forecasting during 2023/24 showed clear evidence of the full effects on the Council of the period of high inflation and interest rates, as well as increasing demand and 	 development as part of approach to risk management. Members rarely focus or take the opportunity to reflect on areas of overspending 		process - CIPFA Resilience Index – See Std F

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
	compliance)			
Other approaches to complying	complexity of cases in social care			
with this financial management	(see below).			
standard are available. It is up to	 Due to these pressures – and in 			
the authority to select an	recognition of a very uncertain			
approach that is commensurate	environment for local			
with its own requirements and	government where many experts			
with the resources that it has	in the sector are reporting the			
available.	view that the funding system is			
Key questions:	'broken' – in February 2024			
 Does the authority have a 	Council considered and approved			
sufficiently robust understanding	its updated MTFS, but only the			
of the risks to its financial	2024/25 budget presents a			
sustainability?	balanced position – Link Below.			
 Does the authority have a 	 High Needs / DSG Deficit - The 			
strategic plan and long-term	Council's spending on High Needs			
financial strategy that	does not match the funding			
adequately address these risks?	received via DSG, due to the			
 Has the authority sought to 	growth in the number of pupils			
understand the impact on its	with an Education Health and			
future financial sustainability of	Care Plan. This has resulted in a			
the strategic, operational and	significant deficit, which is			
financial challenges that it might	currently permitted to be held on			
face (e.g. using a technique such	the Balance Sheet in a 'negative			
as scenario planning)?	DSG reserve' (i.e. a temporary			
Has the authority reported	accounting 'override', approved			
effectively to the leadership	by the Department for Levelling			
team and to members its	Up, Housing and Communities).			
prospects for long-term financial	This override has been extended			
sustainability, the associated	to 31 March 2026 – Risks			
risks and the impact of these for	included in the MTFS approved			
·	February 2023, in year reporting			

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
short and medium-term decision	and MTFS approved February			
making?	2024.			
	 MTFS / Capital Strategy, set out 			
	key financial principles and			
	reflects the main risks to			
	sustainability.			
	 MTFS/Annual Budget agreed at 			
	Full Council annually and			
	reported on through the financial			
	cycle in monitoring reports to			
	officers/members.			
	 MTFS development reports to 			
	Committees – to engage and			
	input into the development of			
	the MTFS and budget.			
	 Finance training provided to 			
	members.			
	 MTFS/Budget – member briefings 			
	to explain key assumptions and			
	risk areas in financial planning.			
	 Value for Money and Financial 			
	Resilience 2023/24 published on			
	website 1.3.24 -see link at Std F			

Medium Term Financial Strategy - Link

MTFS Feb 2023: <u>https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/council and democracy/your council/council finance and governance/cheshire east budget/cheshire-east-budget-2023-24.aspx</u>

MTFS Feb 2024:

https://www.cheshireeast.gov.uk/council and democracy/your council/council finance and governance/cheshire east budget/cheshire-east-budget.aspx

Principle 6: Sustainability – The long-term sustainability of local services is at the heart of all financial management processes and is evidenced by prudent us of public resources.

Standard I - The authority has a rolling multi-year medium-term financial plan consistent with sustainable service plans.

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 The FM Code does not anticipate that a longer-term financial strategy will – or, indeed, should – provide sufficient detail to allow for it to be translated directly into an annual income and expenditure budget. To bridge this gap, therefore, and to allow the authority to ensure that its annual budget is in alignment with its longer- term financial aims, the FM Code requires the authority to prepare a multi-year medium- term financial plan. Furthermore, this plan should also be consistent with associated service plans for the authority's principal services. Key questions 	 The 2023/24 MTFS covers 4yrs of activity with HLBCs linked to appropriate years. Annual budgets are approved, using the additional years of the MTFS as evidence of the robustness and whole life impact of yr1 proposals. Income and expenditure forecasts are consistent and reflect up to date analysis to support longer term planning. The MTFS is provided in full as part of the Council approval of the annual budget. All strategies within the MTFS reflect the 4yr planning timeframe. HLBC's published alongside MTFS Report 	 There is potential ambiguity over the 'approval' of proposals beyond yr1. The MTFS does not compare scenarios, such as HML, but is presented as a single plan. The Asset Management Plan (AMP) is not suitably aligned to the MTFS. Lifetime costs of assets does not systematically feature within the MTFS. 	3 - Amber	 Develop approach to vary MTFS as opposed just to vary current year Review Capital Strategy for 2024/25 re affordability - target 31.03.2024 Transformation Plan / balance MTFS in the medium term and increase GF reserves to sustainable level Publish HLBCs to demonstrate options (ongoing) Align Capital Strategy to AMP

What we need to do	What we are doing (evidence of compliance)	Gap Analysis	RAG	Action Plan
 Does the authority have in place an agreed medium-term financial plan? Is the medium-term financial plan consistent with and integrated into relevant service plans and its capital strategy? Has the medium-term financial plan been prepared on the basis of a robust assessment of relevant drivers of cost and demand? Has the medium-term financial plan been tested for resilience against realistic potential variations in key drivers of cost and demand? Does the authority have in place a suitable asset management plan that seeks to ensure that its property, plant and equipment including infrastructure assets contribute effectively to the delivery of services and to the achievement of the authority's strategic aims? 	 The MTFS forecasts the Council's financial position considering known service pressures, major issues affecting the Council's finances, global, national and local economic influences, as well as local priorities, internal/external risk factors and opportunities. Annual budget aligned with Service Planning – collaboration and consultation across the Council and with members, in developing the budget. 2024/25 – 2027/28 MTFS – balanced for 2024/25 only, future years as yet to be balanced – See also Standard K re S25 Statement re robustness of estimates and adequacy of reserves and proposed actions. 			